Case 2:22-cv-01435-CDS-NJK Document 7 Filed 11/16/22 Page 1 of 2

Case MDL No. 3043 Document 110 Filed 11/02/22 Page 1 of 2



UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: ACETAMINOPHEN – ASD/ADHD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 3043

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -2)

On October 5, 2022, the Panel transferred 18 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See __F.Supp.3d__ (J.P.M.L. 2022). Since that time, 48 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Denise L. Cote.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Cote.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of October 5, 2022, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Denise L. Cote.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Nov 02, 2022

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

> RUBY J. KRAJICK CLERK OF COURT

> > Deputy Clerk

Case MDL No. 3043 Document 110 Filed 11/02/22 Page 2 of 2

IN RE: ACETAMINOPHEN - ASD/ADHD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 3043

SCHEDULE CTO-2 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	22-06104	Ericka Avila et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CAC	2	22-06113	Kymberly Cardenas et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CAC	2	22-06404	Yessica Portillo et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CAC	2	22-06405	Miesha Flournoy et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc. et al
CAC	2	22-06407	Crystal Chopin et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CAC	5	22-01515	Katie Ritchie et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CAC	5	22-01516	Rishona Richardson et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc. et al
CAC	5	22-01517	Jasmine Rios et al v. CVS Pharmacy Inc. et al
CAC	5	22-01573	Jessica Stahlke et al v. 7–Eleven, Inc. et al
CAC	5	22-01576	Tania Osuna et al v. Rite-Aid Corporation et al
CAC	8	22-01596	Angelica Garcia et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc. et al
CAC	8	22-01655	Ruth Gonzales et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	22-05070	Moran et al v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
CAN	4	22-04897	Brown et al v. Walgreens Boots Alliance
NEVADA			
NV	2	22-01435	Mendez v. Dollar General Corporation et al
NV	2	22-01696	Hunter v. Walgreen Co.